

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(1999) KLR VOL 12 PART 93 pp. 3109 - 3260

DECEMBER 1999

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

DECEMBER CONTD.

10. Okonji v. Njokanma p. 3109

11. Nigerian Bank for Commerce & Industry v. Alfijir (Mining)
Nigeria Ltd p. 3151

12. Adesola v. Abidoye p. 3183

13. Calabar East Co-Operative Thrift & Credit Society Ltd v. Ikot p. 3233

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1999) 12 KLR**

APPEALS - Briefs - Reply brief - Court will not allow appellant - To extend earlier contentions - In the guise of a reply brief - Reply brief can only deal with new points - Raised in respondent's brief. Okonji v. Njokanma p. 3109

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Circumstances exist - That entitle the Supreme Court to interfere therewith - In this case. NBCI v. Alfijir p. 3151

APPEALS - Concurrent findings of fact - Will not be interfered with - As appellants have failed to show any special circumstances. Okonji v. Njokanma p. 3109

APPEALS - Damages - Concurrent findings in respect of special and general damages - Not having been shown to be perverse - Will not be interfered with. Calabar East Co-Operative v. Ikot p. 3233

APPEALS - Evidence - Evaluation of - Is the duty of trial court and not the appellate court - And that duty was properly carried out by trial judge. Okonji v. Njokanma p. 3109

APPEALS - Evidence - Wrongful admission of - Does not ground reversal in all cases - Duty of showing substantial miscarriage of justice - Is on the appellant. Okonji v. Njokanma p. 3109

APPEALS - Grounds of appeal - Omnibus ground - Cannot be relied on in raising an issue of law. Calabar East Co-Operative v. Ikot p. 3233

APPEALS - Issue - That is incompetent - Should be struck out - And not pronounced upon - As any such pronouncement will be mere obiter dictum. Calabar East Co-Operative v. Ikot p. 3233

APPEALS - Issues raised - Lower court is under a duty - To consider and determine all non hypothetical issues placed before it - And need not raise the suo motu issue it raised. Okonji v. Njokanma p. 3109

APPEALS - Issues - To be considered - Are only those predicated on good ground of appeal - To avoid taking the other side by surprise. Calabar East Co-Operative v. Ikot p. 3233

APPEALS - New issue - Reference to exemplary damages by lower court - Is a mere obiter - And does not amount to introducing new issue. Calabar East Co-Operative v. Ikot p. 3233

APPEALS - Suo motu raising of new issue - Is erroneously alleged - As court of Appeal merely referred to sections of an Act - To buttress its judgment. Okonji v. Njokanma p. 3109

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Court - Precondition for access to the court - In respect of disputes arising from the determination of the prescribed authority - Under s. 22 of Chiefs Law of Oyo state. Adesola v. Abidoye p. 3183

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Jurisdiction - Minor chieftaincies - The determination of disputed minor chieftaincies - Is a jurisdiction vested in the prescribed authority - The High Court has no jurisdiction in such cases. Adesola v. Abidoye p. 3183

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Minor chief - Title of Mogaji - A person appointed Mogaji of his family in Ibadan - Assumes the position and status of a minor chief - Within the meaning of s. 22 of the Chiefs Law. Adesola v. Abidoye p. 3183

COMPANY LAW - Appeals - Receiver manager - 1st appellant was entitled to appoint a receiver - To manage respondent's business - And the issue of this appointment - Is not academic - As erroneously held by the Court of Appeal. NBCI v. Alfijir p. 3151

COMPANY LAW - Receiver - Hurried appointment of - Towards a creditor recovering a debt - Is not wrong - Provided fraud or serious

irregularity is not committed. NBCI v. Alfijir p. 3151

COMPANY LAW - Receiver - Irregularity - In the issue of receipt - Can be waived - In view of the circumstances of this case. NBCI v. Alfijir p. 3151

COMPANY LAW - Receiver manager - Being the agent of the borrower - Was authorized to do all that his principal could lawfully do - Which includes repayment of the loan extended to respondent. NBCI v. Alfijir p. 3151

COMPANY LAW - Receiver Manager - Must be impartial - And subjected to terms and conditions of his appointment. NBCI v. Alfijir p. 3151

COMPANY LAW - Receiver - Receipt and retention of N1.3 million cheque - By the 1st respondent vide the appointed receiver - Is not wrongful - As to warrant liability in damages. NBCI v. Alfijir p. 3151

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Court - Access to - S. 22(5) of the Chiefs Law of Oyo State - The provisions thereof - Is not in violation of s. 33 (2) (a) of the Constitution - And has not interfered with the appellant's access to the court. Adesola v. Abidoye p. 3183

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Statutory provisions - Conflict with the Constitution - Section 22 of the Chiefs law - The operation of the provisions - Is not in conflict with that of s. 236 of the 1979 Constitution. Adesola v. Abidoye p. 3183

CONTRACTS - Loan - Lending agreement - Occurrence of events stated in the mortgage agreement - Makes the whole loan granted to respondent become payable - Amount due need not be proved in this case. NBCI v. Alfijir p. 3151

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Lack of - Where a court has no jurisdiction to

hear and determine a matter - Legal implications. *Adesola v. Abidoye* p. 3183

DAMAGES - Special damages - Must be pleaded - And strictly proved by satisfactory evidence. *Calabar East Co-Operative v. Ikot* p. 3233

DAMAGES - Special damages - Pleadings and evidence led in proof - Where not controverted by cross examination - Court is to accept and act on the evidence. *Calabar East Co-Operative v. Ikot* p. 3233

DAMAGES - Special damages - Pleadings - In the face of insufficient traverse - Strict proof of special damages being necessary - Was still achieved vide satisfactory evidence. *Calabar East Co-Operative v. Ikot* p. 3233

DAMAGES - Special damages - Proof - Is to be based on balance of probabilities - Subject to pleadings and circumstances of each case. *Calabar East Co-Operative v. Ikot* p. 3233

DAMAGES - Special damages - Proof of exclusive ownership of goods damaged - As required in *Sommer's* case - Is not necessary in this case. *Calabar East Co-Operative v. Ikot* p. 3233

EVIDENCE - Admissibility - Courts - Admission of evidence under a wrong section of the law - Is not sufficient ground to reverse the judgment. *Okonji v. Njokanma* p. 3109

EVIDENCE - Admissibility of document - By trial judge under wrong section of the law - Will be corrected by appellate court - Unto retaining the evidence so admitted. *Okonji v. Njokanma* p. 3109

EVIDENCE - Document - Foundation for admission - Is to be laid by the party that pleaded that document - Document admitted in contravention of the Act - Will be expunged. *Okonji v. Njokanma* p. 3109

EVIDENCE - Documents - Admissibility - Three main criteria - That govern admissibility of a document. Okonji v. Njokanma p. 3109

EVIDENCE - Documents - Admissible for one purpose - Will not be admissible for another purpose - If specific requirements under the law for that other purpose - Are not satisfied. Okonji v. Njokanma p. 3109

EVIDENCE - Documents - Presumption of genuineness - Of document kept in proper form and produced from proper custody - Is enjoined upon the courts - By ss.116 & 117 Evidence Act. Okonji v. Njokanma p. 3109

EVIDENCE - Documents - Public document - Admissibility - Conditions for under ss. 111 & 112 Evidence Act - Having been fulfilled - Document in issue was rightly admitted. Okonji v. Njokanma p. 3109

EVIDENCE - Issue of fact - Admission - A person is precluded from resiling from an issue already admitted - But a different consideration applies where the fact so admitted - Is a conclusion of law. Adesola v. Abidoye p. 3183

EVIDENCE - Relevance of facts - Is determined by the surrounding circumstances. Okonji v. Njokanma p. 3109

INTERPRETATION OF STATUTES - The word “may” - In section 22 (5) of the Chiefs Law - Should be construed as imperative - Since the aggrieved has no choice of action in the remedy provided for him. Adesola v. Abidoye p. 3183

JURISDICTION - Concurrent jurisdiction - Exercise of - The inequities of the exercise of concurrent jurisdiction - Between an inferior tribunal and the High Court. Adesola v. Abidoye p. 3183

JURISDICTION - Court - Challenge of jurisdiction - Where the parties fought a case erroneously - On the basis that the court had jurisdiction -

A party is not estopped from subsequently taking the contrary position.
Adesola v. Abidoye p. 3183

JURISDICTION - Waiver - Objection - Where there is no power to exercise jurisdiction - No legal action results - And the question of a waiver does not arise. Adesola v. Abidoye p. 3183

LAND LAW - Traditional evidence - Being inconclusive - Trial judge rightly reverted to acts of possession in recent times - As laid down in *Kojo v. Bonsie*. Okonji v. Njokanma p. 3109

LANDLORD & TENANT - Ejection - By resorting to self help - Is contrary to law - And must be condemned by the court. Calabar East Co-Operative v. Ikot p. 3233

PLEADINGS - New issue - Courts - Specific reference to sections of the Evidence Act - Is not tantamount to raising new issues by court - Court need not invite further address from counsel - Merely because it referred to sections of an Act. Okonji v. Njokanma p. 3109

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Costs - Amount to be awarded - Where agreed upon by the parties - The court's discretion will be fettered. NBCI v. Alfijir p. 3151

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Costs - Discretion of Court to award - Must be exercised judicially and judiciously - And costs are not meant to be a bonus to the successful party. NBCI v. Alfijir p. 3151

WORDS & PHRASES - The word "Chief" - How properly defined and its connotations. Adesola v. Abidoye p. 3183

WORDS & PHRASES - The word "may" - In provisions of statutes - How properly construed. Adesola v. Abidoye p. 3183

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Chiefs Law, Vol. 1 cap 21 Laws of Oyo State of Nigeria 1978; ss. 2 and 22. *Adesola v. Abidoye* p. 3183

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979; ss. 6(6) (b); 33 (2) (a) and 236. *Adesola v. Abidoye* p. 3183

Evidence Act ss.111, 112,129, 130, 20,(3), 34(1), 199, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 210(c) *Okonji v. Njokanma* p. 3109